| 4 atr | 03/04 | |
|----------------|-------|--|
| | | |
| Submission No: | | |



Australian Government

Department of Transport and Regional Services

File Reference: L2005/1756

Ms Rebecca Gordon Inquiry Secretary Joint Statutory Committee on Public Accounts and Audit Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600



Dear Ms Gordon

Review of Auditor-General's Reports Fourth Quarter 2003-2004 ANAO Performance Audit Report No. 50 Management of Federal Airport Leases - Public Hearing 7 March 2005 - Questions on Notice

I refer to your email of 9 March 2005 enclosing a copy of the proof transcript of the evidence given at the Committee's public hearing on 7 March 2005. At that time you also sought the Department's response to the Committee's Questions on Notice as recorded in the proof transcript.

The Department's responses to the Questions on Notice are provided at <u>Attachment A</u>. In addition, the Department would like to take this opportunity to provide the Committee with details of the specific Departmental actions arising from the ANAO Report's Recommendations <u>(Attachment B)</u>. An updated version of the Annual Lease Review schedule, tabled by the Department at the Committee hearing, has also been included at <u>Attachment C</u>.

Do not hesitate to contact myself on 62747086 or Neil Williams on 62748047 if the Committee requires any further information or clarification on these matters.

Yours sincerely

Martin Dolan Executive Director Aviation and Airports 27 April 2005

ATTACHMENT A

JOINT COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT REVIEW OF AUDITOR-GENERAL'S REPORTS FOURTH QUARTER 03-04 – ANAO PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT NO. 50 MANAGEMENT OF FEDERAL AIRPORT LEASES PUBLIC HEARING 7 MARCH 2005 DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT AND REGIONAL SERVICES' RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Question 1 (Hansard page 2)

Could you provide to the committee a list of the airports that are leased and details of who the lessees are and where there is commonality among the lessees – whether it be in parent companies or the like"

ANSWER

The table at Schedule 1 provides details of current stakeholders with a greater than 10% stake in each leased Federal airport. The table also details where entities have a greater than 10% stake in multiple airports.

Question 2 (Hansard page 5)

"Please show us documentation that proves that there have been insurance policies in place at all of our airports that ameliorate the risk to the Commonwealth government that we are all responsible for".

"Can a schedule be provided that shows the 17 airports and the insurance that they had in place that year?"

ANSWER

The Sale Agreements for all leased Federal airports require insurance cover in relation to structures, plant and machinery, revenue loss and legal liability. The extent of cover required is detailed in the Sale Agreements and is reflected in the attached Schedule 2. Schedule 2 has been prepared on the basis of certificates of currency, placement slips, policy schedules or confirmation by airport brokers provided by the airport lessees for those years since privatisation of the airports.

<u>Question 3 (Hansard page 10) -</u> Re copies of original leases "What if one cannot be located? What are the implications of an original document not ever being located, without even a copy?

ANSWER

The Department has confirmed with the Australian Government Solicitor (AGS) the whereabouts of the Commonwealth's originals of the leases for the Federal airports sold in the Phase 1 and Phase 2 sales (those being the airports within the scope of the ANAO Audit).

The AGS has confirmed that it holds originals of all airport leases except for those of Hobart, Brisbane and Townsville, for which it has obtained copies issued by the Tasmanian and Queensland Land Titles Offices (LTOs). The AGS has advised the Department that copies issued by an LTO are as good as the original.

Originals or electronic copies of originals are held at the LTOs of the state or territory in which the lease was registered. Melbourne Airport is the only airport which does not have its lease registered. The AGS is currently making arrangements for the Melbourne Airport Lease to be registered with the Victorian LTO.

At the time of leasing, the AGS provided printed and bound "Administrators' Versions" of the Airport Leases to the Department. The Administrators' Version is not a signed copy of the lease, but contains the precise wording of each clause of the lease, with explanations by AGS of the meaning and intention of clauses. The Administrators' Versions are specific to each airport. The AGS intended the Administrators' Versions to be used by the Department to interpret and apply the various lease clauses in the course of its lease administration duties, such as in the conduct of the annual lease reviews. The Department holds at least two bound copies of each Administrator's Version. It also holds copies of the signed lease for each airport.

Department of Transport and Regional Services

``)

| State/Territory | Airport Lessee | Stakeholders with a greater than 10% |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | Company | stake |
| ACT | Canberra International Airport | Stirling Finance Unit Trust (100%) in which |
| | Pty Ltd | the following have a greater than 10% stake: |
| · | | Capital Property Finance Pty Ltd (100%) |
| NSW | Bankstown Airport Ltd | BAC Airports Pty Ltd (100%) in which the following have a greater than 10% stake: |
| | Camden Airport Ltd | BAC Holdco Pty Ltd (100%) in which the following have a greater than 10% stake: James Fielding Funds Management Ltd (33.3%) Commonwealth Investments Pty Ltd (33.3%) Westscheme Pty Ltd (33.3%) |
| NSW | Hoxton Park Airport Ltd | HPAL Holdings Pty Ltd (100%) in which the following have a greater than 10% stake: |
| - | | James Fielding Funds Management Ltd (100%) |
| NSW | Sydney Airport Corporation Ltd | Southern Cross Airports Corporation Holdings Ltd (the ultimate Holding Company in the Southern Cross Airports Group that conducts the business of Sydney Airport) in which the following have a greater than 10% stake: The two custodians of the Southern Cross Australian Airports Trust (SCAAT) (45.66%): Trust Company of Australia (45.19%) Bond St Custodians (0.47%) Ferrovial Aeropuertos Australia Management Pty Ltd as trustee for the Ferrovial Sydney Airport Investment Trust (16.10%) Macquarie Specialised Asset Management Ltd as responsible entity for the Southern Cross FLIERS Trust (22.94%) |

Airport Ownership as at 22 March 2005

1

ļ,

| NT | Alice Springs Airport Pty Ltd Darwin Airport Pty Ltd | Northern Territory Airports Pty Ltd (100%) in which the following have a greater than 10% stake: Airport Development Group Pty Ltd (100%) in which the following have a greater than 10% stake: BAA Australia Pty Ltd (10%) Industry Funds Management (Nominees) Limited (35.5%) Hastings Funds Management Ltd (25.4%) RBC Global Services Australia Nominees Pty Ltd (14.55%) National Asset Management Ltd (14.55%) |
|-----|---|---|
| NT | Tennant Creek Airport Pty Ltd | Airport Development Group Pty Ltd (100%) in which the following have a greater than 10% stake: BAA Australia Pty Ltd (10%) Industry Funds Management (Nominees) Limited (35.5%) Hastings Funds Management Ltd (25.4%) RBC Global Services Australia Nominees Pty Ltd (14.55%) National Asset Management Ltd (14.55%) |
| QLD | Archerfield Airport Corporation Pty Ltd | Miengrove Pty Ltd (100%) in which the following have a greater than 10% stake holding: Gavin James Bird (50%) Petricia Karen Bird (50%) |
| QLD | Brisbane Airport Corporation Ltd | Commonwealth Custodial Services (27.3%) Gateway Investments Corporation Pty Ltd (37.4%) National Asset Management Ltd (12.7%) Schipol Australia Pty Ltd (15.6%) |

SCHEDULE 1

| QLD | Gold Coast Airport Ltd Australian Airports (Mount | Queensland Airports Ltd (100%) in which the following have a greater than 10% stake: |
|-----|--|---|
| | Australian Airports (Townsville) Ltd | • Hastings Funds Management Ltd as responsible entity for the Australian Infrastructure Fund (49.07%) |
| | (Townsville) Ltu | Hastings Funds Management Ltd as trustee for the Infrastructure Fund (33.67%) |
| SA | Adelaide Airport Ltd | National Nominees Ltd (37.4%) |
| | | Local Government Superannuation Board (16.2%) |
| | | Motor Traders Association of Australia Superannuation Fund Pty Ltd (27.5%) |
| SA | Parafield Airport Ltd | Adelaide Airport Ltd (100%) in which the following have a greater than 10% stake: |
| | | • National Nominees Ltd (37.4%) |
| | | • Local Government Superannuation Board (16.2%) |
| | | Motor Traders Association of Australia Superannuation Fund Pty Ltd (27.5%) |
| TAS | Hobart International Airport Pty Ltd | Hobart Ports Corporation Pty Ltd (100%) |
| TAS | Australia Pacific Airports (Launceston) Pty Ltd | • Australia Pacific Airports Corporation (100%) in which the following have a greater than 10% stake: |
| | | - BAA Australia Pty. Ltd. (19.82%) |
| | | SAS Trustee Corporation (25%) AMP Capital Investors Limited (40.99%) |
| | | • Launceston City Council (Airport loan notes representing a 10% interest) |
| VIC | Australia Pacific Airports (Melbourne) Pty Ltd | Australia Pacific Airports Corporation (100%) in which the following have a greater than 10% stake: |
| | | • BAA Australia Pty. Ltd. (19.82%) |
| | | • SAS Trustee Corporation (25%) |
| | | • AMP Capital Investors Limited (40.99%) |

÷ - }

| VIC | Essendon Airport Pty Ltd | Essendon Airport Holdings Pty Ltd (100%) in which the following have a greater than 10% stake: |
|-----|--|--|
| | | • LPG Essendon Pty Ltd as trustee for the LPG Essendon Trust (50%) |
| | | Becton Construction Services Pty Ltd as trustee for the Becton Construction Services Trust (50%) |
| VIC | Moorabbin Airport Corporation Pty Ltd | Goodman Holdings Pty Ltd (66.6%) |
| | | Airport Investments Pty Ltd (33.3%) |
| WA | Jandakot Airport Holdings Pty Ltd | Allswan Holdings Pty Ltd (34.33%) |
| | | Hanscon Holdings Pty Ltd (52%) |
| | | Jayport Holdings Pty Ltd (13.66%) |
| WA | Westralia Airports Corporation Pty Ltd (Perth Airport) | Airstralia Development Group Pty Ltd (100%) in which the following have a greater than 10% stake: |
| | | • Utilities of Australia Pty Ltd as trustee for the Utilities Trust of Australia (32.01%) |
| | | • Hastings Funds Management Ltd as responsible entity for the Australia Infrastructure Fund (24.88%) |
| | | • BAA Australia Pty Ltd (15%) |
| | | • Utilities of Australia Pty Ltd as trustee for the Perth Airport Property Fund (14.5%) |

| Entity holder | Airports in which a greater than | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | 10% stake in held | | |
| National Nominees Ltd | Adelaide and Parafield ¹ | | |
| Local Government Superannuation Board | Adelaide and Parafield ¹ | | |
| Motor Traders Association of Australia | Adelaide and Parafield ¹ | | |
| Superannuation Fund Pty Ltd | | | |
| Airport Development Group Pty Ltd | Alice Springs, Darwin and Tennant Creek ² | | |
| Northern Territory Airports Pty Ltd | Alice Springs and Darwin ² | | |
| BAA Australia Pty Ltd | Alice Springs, Darwin, Tennant Creek ² , | | |
| | Launceston, Melbourne and Perth | | |
| Industry Funds Management (Nominees) | Alice Springs, Darwin and Tennant | | |
| Ltd | Creek ² | | |
| Hastings Funds Management Ltd | Alice Springs, Darwin, Tennant Creek ² , | | |
| | Gold Coast, Darwin, Mount Isa, Perth, | | |
| | and Townsville | | |
| RBC Global Services Australia Nominees | Alice Springs, Darwin and Tennant | | |
| Pty Ltd | Creek ² | | |
| National Asset Management Ltd | Alice Springs, Darwin, Tennant Creek ² | | |
| | and Brisbane | | |
| BAC Airports Ltd | Bankstown and Camden ³ | | |
| James Fielding Funds Management Ltd | Bankstown, Camden and Hoxton Park ³ | | |
| Commonwealth Investments Pty Ltd | Bankstown and Camden ³ | | |
| Westscheme Pty Ltd | Bankstown and Camden ³ | | |
| BAC Holdco Pty Ltd | Bankstown and Camden ³ | | |
| Australia Pacific Airports Corporation | Launceston and Melbourne | | |
| SAS Trustee Corporation | Launceston and Melbourne | | |
| AMP Capital Investors | Launceston and Melbourne | | |

Entities with a greater than 10% stake in multiple airports

()

 ¹ Adelaide and Parafield airports were originally sold together as a package.
 ² Alice Springs, Darwin and Tennant Creek airports were originally sold together as a package.
 ³ Bankstown, Camden and Hoxton Park airports were originally sold together as a package.

SCHEDULE 2

1

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT AND REGIONAL SERVICES

Schedule of Airport Insurance Cover - Federal Leased Airports 1997-2005

INTRODUCTION

The relevant Schedule to the Sale Agreements sets out insurance requirements in the following terms:

- "1. The Transferee will at its expense effect and maintain or cause to be effected and maintained at the Grant Time the following policies of insurance ("Policies"):
 - (a) policy or policies of insurance to cover:
 - (i) loss and/or damage to or destruction of structures;
 - (ii) loss and/or damage to or destruction of plant, machinery and other property on the Airport Site or in transit; and
 - (iii) loss of gross revenues and/or additional increase in the cost of working consequent upon loss and/or damage to or destruction of structures, plant, machinery and other property.

Such policy or policies must be effected for the reinstatement or replacement value of structures plus extra costs of reinstatement;

(b) a policy or policies of insurance in respect of legal liability for, but not limited to, claims made by third parties for bodily injury or death or damage to or destruction of property (including the loss of use of such property) arising out of the Transferee's use or occupation of the Airport Site or any operations occurring on or in respect of the Airport Site.

Such policy or policies must be effected initially with a minimum cover of \$...." (The cover stipulated in the Sale Agreements for legal liability varies between airports as follows:

| \$1.5 billion | Adelaide, Brisbane, Melbourne, Perth, Sydney |
|---------------|---|
| \$1 billion | Canberra, Gold Coast, Darwin |
| \$500 million | Alice Springs, Hobart, Launceston, Townsville |
| \$150 million | Archerfield, Bankstown, Camden, Essendon, Hoxton Park, Jandakot, Moorabbin, Parafield |
| \$25 million | Tennant Creek, Mt Isa) |

All aviation liability primary policies identified in the table below contain third party legal liability limits consistent with the amounts specified in the Sale Agreements.

In addition to the insurance requirements of the Sale Agreements, all airport leases contain a clause indemnifying the Commonwealth from any actions arising from lessee operation of the airports. The relevant clause states that the lessee:

"...hereby indemnifies the Lessor from and against all Claims, Damages or Costs incurred or sustained by the Lessor, or for which the Lessor may become liable, in respect of any Claims, Damages, Costs or Injury to any person by reason of any act or omission on the part of the Lessee or by the performance of the Lease or the use or occupation of the airport Site by the Lessee or any other person..."

Schedule of Airport Insurance Cover – Federal Leased Airports 1997-2005

| Airport ¹ | Cover required | 1997-98 | 1998-99 | 1999-00 | 2000-01 | 2001-02 | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004-05 |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| | by Sale | | | la la la | | | | | |
| · · · · | Agreement | · · · · | | | l | | <u>.</u> | | |
| | (a) | | \checkmark | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark |
| Adelaide/Parafield | (b) | [| \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| | (a) | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | $\overline{\checkmark}$ | \checkmark | \checkmark | $\overline{\checkmark}$ |
| Archerfield | (b) | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| | (a) | | | | ·. | 1 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Bankstown/Camden/Hoxton Park | (b) | [| | | | 1 | 1 | \checkmark | |
| | (a) | \checkmark | \checkmark | see note 2 | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Brisbane | (b) | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| | (a) | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Canberra | (b) | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Darwin/Alice Springs/Tennant | (a) | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Creek | (b) | ·. | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| | (a) | | | | · · | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Essendon | (b) | | | | · · | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | ✓ |
| | (a) | | \checkmark | see note 2 | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Gold Coast | (b) | l | ✓ | ✓ | 1 | \checkmark | \checkmark | ✓ | |

(Insurance cover for structures, plant and machinery and revenue loss is denoted by '(a)' in the second column; third party legal liability is denoted by '(b)'. The symbol "\screw" represents insurance cover confirmed by certificates of currency, placement slips, policy schedules or written confirmation by the airport's broker.

Shaded areas in the body of the table indicate that the period was prior to sale. The respective sale dates were:
 I July 1997 - Brisbane, Melbourne, Perth; 28 May 1998 - Adelaide, Canberra, Gold Coast, Launceston, Parafield; 10 June 1998 - Alice Springs, Darwin, Hobart, Mt Isa, Tennant Creek, Townsville;
 I June 1998 - Archerfield, Moorabbin; 30 June 1998 - Jandakot; 10 August 2001 - Essendon; 30 June 2002 - Sydney (KSA); 14 November 2003 - Bankstown, Camden, Hoxton Park.

2 DOTARS has requested documentation from the airports for these periods as a matter of urgency. Insurance brokers for the airports have advised the documents need to be retrieved from their archives. In the case of the Gold Coast the archives are in the UK.

Ŧ

| Airport | Cover | 1997-98 | 1998-99 | 1999-00 | 2000-01 | 2001-02 | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004-05 |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | required by Sale | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Agreement | | | | · . | | | | |
| | (a) | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | $\overline{\checkmark}$ | $\overline{\checkmark}$ |
| Hobart | (b) | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | $\overline{\checkmark}$ |
| | (a) | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | $\overline{\checkmark}$ | ✓ | \checkmark |
| Jandakot | (b) | | $\overline{\checkmark}$ | $\overline{\checkmark}$ | $\overline{\checkmark}$ | \checkmark | \checkmark | 1 | $\overline{\checkmark}$ |
| | (a) | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | ✓ ✓ |
| Melbourne/Launceston | (b) | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | $\overline{\checkmark}$ | \checkmark | 1 | |
| | (a) | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | $\overline{\checkmark}$ | \checkmark | | $\overline{}$ |
| Moorabbin | (b) | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | \checkmark | | $\overline{\checkmark}$ |
| | (a) | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Perth | (b) | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| | (a) | | | [| [| * [| \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Sydney | (b) | | | | 1 | 1 | $\overline{\checkmark}$ | | $\overline{}$ |
| | (a) | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | $\overline{\checkmark}$ | $\overline{\checkmark}$ | ✓ | \checkmark |
| Townsville/Mt Isa | (b) | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |

-

s.

Schedule of Airport Insurance Cover – Federal Leased Airports 1997-2005 (cont.)

~~

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT AND REGIONAL SERVICES

MANAGEMENT OF FEDERAL AIRPORT LEASES - ANAO PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT NO. 50 RECOMMENDATIONS

| (c) promptly recording uncertainty where it is not clear that the required insurance is in place. (c) clear that the required insurance is in place. (c) sent to 21 airports in August 2004 detailing requirements for annual insurance audit. Information received from 20 airports has been sent to Audit contractor. To date, Audit contractor has submitted reports for 15 airports to Department and these have been/are currently being assessed and follow up action initiated where necessary. Outstanding information is to be provided by the remaining airport in near future for assessment by the Audit contactor. (d) Department has acted to resolve uncertainty in relation to insurance status. For details | ANAO Recommendation | Department's response | Action taken as at 26 April 2005 |
|---|---|--|--|
| follow up action initiated where necessary. Outstanding information is to be provided by the remaining airport in near future for assessment by the Audit contactor. (d) Department has acted to resolve uncertainty in relation to insurance status. For details | INSURANCE Rec. No. 1 ANAO recommends that DOTARS assure itself that the required insurance policies are in place at privatised Federal airports by: (a) adopting contracting procedures that provide the Department with ongoing access to expert, independent advice on lessees' insurance policies; and (b) promptly resolving uncertainty where it is not | "Agreed. The Department considers that its current contracting procedures, that is a three year contract which commenced in September 2002 with an option to renew for further two years, provides it with "ongoing access to expert, independent advice on lessees' insurance policies". The Department's planned review of the current contract six months prior to the renewal option date will enable the Department to assess as part of this process the incumbent | In progress. (a) Responsibility for airport insurance arrangements consolidated with one dedicated officer in Airport Planning and Regulation Branch. (b) Current insurance contract has been reviewed and briefing paper prepared for decision by end April 2005. (c) 2004-2005 insurance review currently underway and is on schedule. Letters were sent to 21 airports in August 2004 detailing requirements for annual insurance audit. Information received from 20 airports has been sent to Audit contractor. To date, Audit contractor has submitted reports for 15 airports to Department and these have |
| of airports' insurance cover from 1997- | | | 15 airports to Department and these have been/are currently being assessed and follow up action initiated where necessary. Outstanding information is to be provided by the remaining airport in near future for assessment by the Audit contactor. (d) Department has acted to resolve uncertainty in relation to insurance status. For details |

.

| ANAO Recommendation | Department's response | Action taken as at 26 April 2005 |
|---|--|---|
| LETTERS OF COMFORT Rec. No. 2 ANAO recommends that DOTARS record the letters of comfort issued in relation to airport sub-lessees on the Department's Register of Contingencies and implement appropriate safe custody arrangements for the instruments. | "Agreed. In April 2004 all 'letters of comfort' issued in relation to airport leases were recorded on the Department's Register of Contingencies, and safe custody arrangements for the instruments were put in place." | <u>Completed</u> . All letters identified as "letters of comfort" by the ANAO have been recorded on Department's Register of Contingencies. Safe custody arrangements for instruments have been put in place by ensuring documentation is held centrally by Department and by retaining a copy on the Branch file specifically created for copies of instruments and which is held by Branch Head. Well progressed. |
| Rec. No. 3 ANAO <i>recommends</i> that, consistent with the Commonwealth's cost recovery policy for regulatory agencies, DOTARS implement a rigorous system for quantifying the reasonable costs of its administration of the 22 Federal Airport Leases, in order to: (a) identify the amount of resources required to administer the contracts entered into at the time of the various sales; and (b) consider the merits of exercising the Commonwealth's contractual rights to recover from lessees the Department's lease administration costs. | "Agreed." The Department also stated in the text of its response that: "In accordance with Finance Circular 2002/02, 'Cost Recovery by Government Agencies', issued in December 2002, the Department is scheduled to commence its two- year review in 2003-2004 of all existing cost recovery arrangements and applying the new policy to all new and significantly amended cost recovery arrangements. The cost recovery arrangements provided for under the airport lease will form part of this review." | (a) Scope of ANAO Recommendation No. 3 included in consultant's terms of reference for the 2003-2004 Departmental-wide review of cost recovery arrangements. (b) Department's review commenced August 2004 and included examination of "reasonable costs" for administration of airport leases and the appropriateness of recovering these costs. Additional information provided by Australian Airports Association (AAA) following release of the ANAO Report further substantiated the Department's understanding that potential purchasers of the airport leases had been advised prior to purchase that these costs would not be recovered. This additional information was provided to the Departmental consultant as part of the briefing for the cost recovery review. |

| ANAO Recommendation | Department's response | Action taken as at 26 April 2005 |
|--|---|---|
| | | (c) The consultant reported to the Department in November 2004 with regard to the specific terms of reference relating to airport cost recovery. Legal advice relating to the difficulty of recovery of lease administration costs has been received and a paper is now being prepared for consideration by the Department's Audit Committee. |
| ANNUAL LEASE REVIEWS | | Well progressed. |
| Rec. No. 4 ANAO <i>recommends</i> that DOTARS improve its management of the Airport Leases by developing and implementing reliable systems for the scheduling and conducting of annual lease review meetings, and reporting on its performance in conducting these reviews. | "Agreed with qualification. The Department will review its existing arrangements for the scheduling and conducting of lease review meetings with a view to determining an appropriate risk management strategy on an airport-by-airport basis for undertaking future airports lease reviews. The Department will examine the most appropriate approach for reporting on its performance in conducting these reviews." | A review of existing arrangements for the scheduling and the conduct of lease reviews has been undertaken and new draft Branch Guidelines, including templates and checklists, has been developed. These draft guidelines are being trialled at lease reviews in March-June 2005. In addition, an internal assessment of the risks associated with the management of lease obligations has been undertaken and appropriate risk treatments included in the new draft Branch Guidelines. A schedule of lease review meetings to be undertaken in 2004-2005 for all 22 Federally leased airports has been approved and these reviews are now underway. An updated schedule of 2004-2005 lease review meetings is provided at Attachment C. |

| ANAO Recommendation | Department's response | Action taken as at 26 April 2005 |
|---|---|---|
| | | A summary of the annual lease reviews conducted during 2003-04 was included in DOTARS' 2003- 2004 Annual Report, and the form of future reporting will be reviewed from 2004-2005 onwards. The Branch will brief the 22 airport lessees on the form of future performance reporting on lease reviews at the Airport Consultative Forum to be held on 5 May 2005. |
| Rec. No. 5 | | Well progressed. |
| ANAO recommends that DOTARS enhance its conduct of lease review meetings by, at the conclusion of each review: (a) documenting review outcomes, including the Department's assessment of the degree to which the lessee complies with the sale documentation requirements; and (b) providing a written response to the lessee specifying outstanding issues that are to be addressed. | "Agreed." | Guidance for Branch staff on documenting lease review outcomes is included as part of the new draft Guidelines (see Recommendation No. 4 above). A number of 2004-2005 lease reviews have already been conducted using the new guidance. The draft Guidelines include checklists and templates for written responses to lessees. |
| DEVELOPMENT OBLIGATIONS | | Work Scheduled. |
| Rec. No. 6 ANAO <i>recommends</i> that DOTARS include in future Annual Reports comprehensive and accurate performance information on the timeliness and completeness of receipt of expenditure plans and audited reports on Development Commitment expenditure from relevant airport lessees. | "Agreed with qualification. The Department considers it important that prior to including in its Annual Report any performance information that identifies a particular airport, airports should be given advance notice of this intention. On this basis, the Department will include performance information relating to annual reports and expenditure plans from the 2004-2005 Annual Report onwards." | The most appropriate format and content of performance reporting for Development Obligations in future Departmental Annual Reports is scheduled to commence at the end of April 2005. The Branch will brief the 22 airport lessees on the form of future performance reporting on Development Obligations at the Airport Consultative Forum on 5 May 2005. |

| ANAO Recommendation | Department's response | Action taken as at 26 April 2005 |
|---|--|--|
| Rec. No. 7 ANAO recommends that DOTARS more closely analyse annual expenditure reports when they are provided in order to promptly advise lessees of any items that the Commonwealth would not accept as expenditure towards the Development Commitment obligations. | "Agreed. The formal Guidelines now in place facilitate the careful analysis of annual expenditure reports and provide for the formal advice to airport lessees regarding the appropriateness or not of their expenditure." | Ongoing. Detailed Development Obligations Guidelines are in place and are being updated in line with this Recommendation by the end of the financial year. |
| Rec. No. 8 | | Well progressed. |
| ANAO <i>recommends</i> that, having regard to the delays that occurred for Period One, DOTARS expedite the finalisation of Period Two Development Commitment outcomes, currently due in 2007 and 2008, by taking early administrative action to obtain, analyse and assess financial reports prepared by Approved Auditors. | "Agreed. Formal Guidelines are already in place to provide the necessary administrative framework to ensure that Period Two Development Commitment outcomes will be finalised expeditiously. These Guidelines will continue to be applied." | Formal Development Obligation Guidelines currently in place include requirements relating to finalisation of Period Two Development Obligation outcomes. Procedures in the Guidelines are being reviewed and updated to ensure timely results can be achieved relating to the finalisation of Period Two outcomes. |
| Rec. No. 9 ANAO recommends that DOTARS report achievement against the Period One Development commitment for each airport in its next Annual Report. | "Agreed with qualification. From its 2003-2004 Annual Report onwards, the Department will report the outcomes for those airports that have completed their Period One Development Commitments for that respective year." | <u>Completed</u> . Information about Period One Development Commitment outcomes was included in DOTARS' 2003-2004 Annual Report. The most appropriate form of performance reporting for Development Obligations in future Departmental Annual Reports is scheduled for review at the end of April 2005. The 22 airport lessees will be briefed on the form of future performance reporting on Development Obligations at the Airport Consultative Forum on 5 May 2005. |

ATTACHMENT C

The second s

| Sale | Airport | Year | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| | | 2003 - 2004 | 2004 - 2005 |
| Phase I (July 1997) | Brisbane | 25 May 2004 | June 2005*** |
| | Melbourne | 13 August 2003 | 24 August 2004 |
| | Perth | 25 February 2004 | 22 April 2005 |
| | Archerfield | 25 May 2004 | May - June 2005** |
| | Adelaide | 25 May 2004 | 1 June 2005 |
| | Alice Springs | 21 May 2004 | June - July 2005** |
| | Canberra | 25 June 2004 | June 2005** |
| | Coolangatta | 27 July 2004 | 28 June 2005 |
| | Darwin | 20 May 2004 | June - July 2005** |
| Phase 2 | Hobart | 30 April 2004 | 16 March 2005 |
| (June 1998) | Jandakot | 26 February 2004 | 19April 2005 |
| (June 1998) | Launceston | 29 April 2004 | 5 April 2005 |
| | Moorabbin | 3 June 2004 | June 2005 ^{**} |
| | Parafield | 25 May 2004 | 1 June 2005** |
| | Tennant Creek | 20 May 2004 | June - July 2005** |
| | Townsville/ Mount Isa [*] | 21 June 2004 | 28 June 2005 |
| | Essendon | 24 June 2004 | June 2005** |
| Phase 3 | Bankstown Camden Hoxton Park | No ^A | 12 May 2005 |
| | Sydney | 11 May 2004 | 11 May 2005 |
| ** S No ^A N | | eated separately airports going through sale by Due Diligence process. | process. |

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT AND REGIONAL SERVICES Annual Lease Review Meeting Schedule 2003-2005

As at 26 April 2005